

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/791,110	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHIPMAN, TIMOTHY	
	<b>Examiner</b> INSUN KANG	<b>Art Unit</b> 2193	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) INSUN KANG (examiner).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Sayed (reg. 52,544).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 October 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The amendments ipn this examiner's amendment that were necessary in order to further clarify the claims and obviate any rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101 and 112 2nd were discussed during the interview.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)